RESEARCHERID
THOMSON REUTERS

[Limaye* *et al.*, 6(7): July, 2017]
IC™ Value: 3.00

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 4.116
CODEN: IJESS7

IJESRT

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF CRUSHED GRANITE & MARBLE FOR PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF NATURAL SAND IN M 30 GRADE CONCRETE.

Vaishali Sunil Limaye, Harshvardhan Rajendra Godbole, Sanjay Vasudeo Lipare.
(Associate Professor: Department of Civil Engineering, Sinhgad College of Engineering (SCOE) Vadgaon/Ambegaon Pune)
(P.G Student: Department of Civil Engineering, RMD Sinhgad School Of Engineering (RMDSSOE) Warje, Pune)
(Associate Professor: Department of Civil Engineering, KIT's College of Engineering Kolhapur)

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.827516

ABSTRACT

Granite and Marble stones are used in Civil industries for various aspects. Lot of cutting waste is produced during the processing of granite and marble. This cutting waste is generally used for filling the land. An experimental research is carried out to explore the opportunity of using the crushed granite fines and marble fines as a partial substitute of sand in M 30 grade concrete. The cutting wastes with different combinations are fed to crusher. The proportion of Crushed Granite Fines (CGF) and Crushed Marble Fine (CMF) to replace sand 10%, 20%, 30%, 40% and 10%, 15%, 20%, 30% by weight. The ultimate usage is decided depending upon its advantages observed. Based on the economic analysis of the result, substitute for the sand with granite and marble fines is recommended. The results for green concrete is finally compared with same grade concrete.

KEYWORDS: Concrete Properties, C.G.F., C.M.F., Compressive strength, Split tensile strength and Flexural strength.

I. INTRODUCTION

India is currently the second fastest developing economic system inside the world. Infrastructure zone is a key motive force for the Indian economy. Infrastructure sector consists of energy, bridges, dams, roads and urban infrastructure development. In India 11% Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is contributed by construction development sector. India desires to spend on infrastructure development with 70% of finances on power, roads and concrete infrastructure segments in coming five years. The construction materials such as cement, sand, steel and aggregate are used in building, road, bridges, power house construction. Mainly the construction cost depends on cement, sand, and steel. The cost of cement and steel are always fluctuating, but sand costs are increasing day by day. Mining of sand is much higher than the natural replenishments and hence damages the land, water and many habitats. The mining of sand has reached to a peak because of its increasing demand in the construction sector. Hence it is essential to replace by substitute material that may be available in waste form. It helps to reduce the cost of concrete. The marble and granite waste cost is less; hence checked for feasibility. For the feasibility of concrete the test were carried out for different mechanical properties such as compressive strength, spilt tensile strength and flexural strength. The results were compared with conventional concrete.

II. EXPERIMENTATION DETAILS

Concrete is one of the major ingredient used in construction industry. Concrete is prepared using combination of cement, water, fine and coarse aggregates and chemical and mineral admixtures for betterment of properties. In present study the following material were used in concrete.

A) Cement is the essential binding fabric in concrete. The Coromandel King 53 grade of cement was used, with specific gravity 3.15 and fineness 2%.

B) Fine Aggregates: Fine aggregates were confirming to zone III, with fineness modulus and specific gravity of the sand were found to be 2.33 and 2.56, respectively.

C) Coarse Aggregate: Broken basaltic stone as coarse aggregate were used in concrete. Size of the coarse aggregate used in the investigation was within a range 10 -20 mm. The specific gravity of the coarse aggregate was found to be 2.68.

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 4.116
CODEN: IJESS7

D) Water is an important ingredient of the concrete as it actually participates in the chemical reaction with cement. Impurities in the water may affect setting time, strength, shrinkage of concrete or promote corrosion of reinforcement. Locally available drinking water was used in the present work.

E) Crushed Granite Fines (CGF): Granite belongs to igneous rock family. The density of the granite is between 2.65 to 2.75 g/cm^3 and crushing strength greater than 200 MPa. Locally available cutting granite pieces were collected and the crushed into the stone crusher. These crushed granite fines were partially used in concrete as fine aggregate.

F) Crushed Marble Fines (CMF): Marble belongs to metamorphic rock. The specific gravity of the marble is between 2.6 to 2.8 g/cm^3 and compressive strength greater than 50 MPa. Locally available cutting granite pieces were collected and the crushed into the stone crusher. These crushed granite fines were partially used in concrete as fine aggregate.

G) The Algisuperplast Super plasticiser was used during mixing the concrete to improve the workability of concrete. As per Indian standards, the dosage of super plasticiser should not exceed 2% by weight of the cement. In current study 1.5 % dosage of super plasticiser was adopted.

The mix for M 30 grade of concrete was designed using IS 456:2000. The ratio 1: 2.18: 3.48 gave 30 MPa strength. For 2.18 ratio of fine aggregate amount 839 Kg. of 1 cum of concrete. Therefore 1 cum of concrete indicates dependency on 839 Kg. of natural sand.

Compressive Strength: The compressive test on concrete was carried out using Compression Testing Machine (CTM). The specimen used were of size 150 X 150 X 150 mm cube. The test was performed at 7 and 28 days respectively for different mix proportions.

Spilt Tensile Strength: The split tensile strength test was carried out on a Universal Testing Machine (UTM). The specimen used was 150 mm diameter and 300 mm length cylinder. The Test was performed at 7 and 28 days respectively for different mix proportions.

Flexural Strength: The flexural tests on concrete was carried out on a flexural testing machine. The specimen used were of size 500 X 100 X 100 mm beam. The Test was performed at 7 and 28 days respectively for different mix proportions. Various mix proportion of concrete are shown in table 2.1

Table 2.1: Mix Proportion

Mix Designation	CEMENT	SAND	C.G.F.	C.M.F.	AGGREGATE
Natural Sand 100 %	100%	100%	-	-	100%
C.G.F. 10%	100%	90%	10%	-	100%
C.G.F 20%	100%	80%	20%	-	100%
C.G.F 30%	100%	70%	30%	-	100%
C.G.F 40%	100%	60%	40%	-	100%
C.M.F. 10%	100%	90%	-	10%	100%
C.M.F. 15%	100%	85%	-	15%	100%
C.M.F. 20%	100%	80%	-	20%	100%
C.M.F. 30%	100%	70%	-	30%	100%

III. RESULTS

3.1 Compressive Strength: The impact of granite, marble and combination of crushed granite and marble fines as an alternative of sand on compressive strength of M30 grade concrete is presented in table 3.1. At first position 20% replacement using C.G.F. is observed; whereas at second position C.M.F. 20%

Table 3.1: Compressive Strength Test Results

Mix Designation	7 Days	28 Days
Natural Sand 100 %	**24.37**	**32.15**
C.G.F. 10%	25.04	32.44
C.G.F 20%	**27.85**	**35.11**
C.G.F 30%	24.00	32.30
C.G.F 40%	23.93	31.11

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 4.116
CODEN: IJESS7

C.M.F. 10%	24.15	31.19
C.M.F. 15%	24.89	32.15
C.M.F. 20%	**25.88**	**33.04**
C.M.F. 30%	18.44	27.63

3.2 Spilt Tensile Strength: Considering various application of concrete; it is essential to test the spilt tensile strength of concrete. The split tensile is an easy method of measuring the tensile strength. The specimens of 150 mm diameter cylinder have been tested at the age of 7 and 28 days are shown in table 3.2. C.G.F. 20% indicates best results for 28 days of spilt tensile strength. C.M.F. 20% indicates best results for 7 days of spilt tensile strength.

Table 3.2: Split Tensile Strength Test Results

Mix Designation	7 Days	28 Days
Natural Sand 100 %	**2.58**	**4.67**
C.G.F. 10%	2.90	4.69
C.G.F 20%	**3.51**	**5.02**
C.G.F 30%	2.64	4.60
C.G.F 40%	2.52	3.23
C.M.F. 10%	2.45	4.22
C.M.F. 15%	3.25	4.72
C.M.F. 20%	**3.54**	**4.95**
C.M.F. 30%	2.05	2.76

3.3 Flexural Strength: The variation of CGF, CMF and combination of crushed granite and marble fines and the performance of admixtures on flexural strength for all concrete mixes are shown in table 3.3. C.G.F. 20% indicates best results for 28 days of flexural strength. C.M.F. 20% is positioned at second level for 28 days of flexural strength, however the values are very close to the immediate upper level.

Table 3.3: Flexural Strength Test Results

Mix Designation	7 Days	28 Days
Natural Sand 100 %	**3.40**	**3.87**
C.G.F. 10%	3.47	3.91
C.G.F 20%	**3.57**	**3.99**
C.G.F 30%	3.37	3.77
C.G.F 40%	3.28	3.65
C.M.F. 10%	3.32	3.73
C.M.F. 15%	3.44	3.84
C.M.F. 20%	**3.57**	**3.88**
C.M.F. 30%	3.04	3.27

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 4.116
CODEN: IJESS7

IV. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF REPLACEMENT:

The designed concrete mix with proportion 1: 2.18: 3.48 was used in M 30 grade of concrete. The demand of sand is more but availability of sand is less. Also the rate of sand is increasing day by day. In current study the sand is partially replaced with crushed granite and marble. In conventional concrete as per design the ratio of sand 2.18 required. It means the 1 cum concrete required 839 kg of sand. For using CGF and CMF replacing sand, the quantity of sand will reduced 20%. Cost comparison between conventional concrete and CGF and CMF was carried out for finding economic feasibility of different proportion.

Table 4.1: Cost Difference While Replacing Sand With Crushed Granite & Marble Fines

Natural Sand 100%	5438		
C.G.F. 20%	5069	369	**6.50%**
C.M.F. 20%	5069	369	**6.50%**

Table 4.2: Mix Design For Conventional Concrete

Quantity For 1 Cum Concrete for M30				
Cement				385
Water				170
Chemical				5.8
River Sand				**839**
Aggregate 10 mm				803
Aggregate 20 mm				535
Rate And Quantity for 1 Cum Concrete for M30				
	Quantity	Unit	Rate / Unit	Total Cost
Cement	385	Kg.	6.2	2387
Water	170	Lit.	0.1	17
Chemical	5.8	Lit.	60	348
River Sand	**839**	**Kg.**	**2.5**	**2098**
10 mm Aggregate	803	Kg.	0.44	353
20 mm Aggregate	535	Kg.	0.44	235
			Total Cost	**5438**

Table 4.3: Mix Design For using CGF/ CMF in Concrete

Quantity For 1 Cum Concrete for M30	
Cement	385
Water	170
Chemical	5.8
River Sand	**671**

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 4.116
CODEN: IJESS7

CGF/ CMF 20%			**168**	
Aggregate 10 mm			803	
Aggregate 20 mm			535	
Rate And Quantity for 1 Cum Concrete for M30				
	Quantity	Unit	Rate / Unit	Total Cost
Cement	385	Kg.	6.2	2387
Water	170	Lit.	0.1	17
Chemical	5.8	Lit.	60	348
River Sand	**671**	**Kg.**	**2.5**	**1678**
20% C.G.F./C.M.F.	**168**	**Kg.**	**0.3**	**50.4**
10 mm Aggregate	803	Kg.	0.44	353
20 mm Aggregate	535	Kg.	0.44	235
			Total Cost	**5069**

According to test results, the crushed granite or marble used in concrete for replacing the sand is responsible for cost cutting of 6.5% in 1 cum. The local market rates from the retailer were used for finding percentage saving. However if more quantity of waste is needed, it can be managed directly from mines.

V. CONCLUSION

1. The sand mining has reached to a peak because of its increasing demand in the construction sector. Hence it is essential to replace by substitute material, which may be available in waste form.
2. C.G.F. 20% (27.85 MPa, 35.11 MPa) indicates best result for 7 and 28 days compressive strength than the Natural Sand (24.37 MPa, 32.15 MPa) and C.M.F. 20% (25.88 MPa, 33.04 MPa) indicates better result for 7 and 28 days compressive strength.
3. For 28 days, C.G.F. 20% (3.51 MPa, 5.02 MPa) indicates best result of spilt tensile strength than the Natural Sand (2.58 MPa, 4.67 MPa). For 7 days C.M.F. 20% (3.54 MPa, 4.95 MPa) indicates best result of spilt tensile strength.
4. C.G.F. 20% (3.57 MPa, 3.99 MPa) indicates best result for 28 days of flexural strength than the Natural Sand (3.40 MPa, 3.87 MPa). C.M.F. 20% (3.57 MPa, 3.97 MPa) is positioned at second level for 28 days of flexural strength, however the values are very close to the immediate upper level.
5. The cost of 1 cum concrete will reduced 6.5% for 20% partial replacement of C.G.F. or C.M.F.
6. Replacing sand by using CGF and CMF. The sand quantity will get reduced 20%. The CGF and C.M.F. (20%) may substitute the sand in M 30 grade of concrete considering economic and qualitative aspects of concrete.

VI. REFERENCES

[1] Arivumangai1,*, T. Felixkala2 1Research scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, St .Peter's University, Chennai, India 2HOD, Department of Civil Engineering, Dr. MGR Educational and Research Institute University, Chennai, India
[2] Ankit Nileshchandra Patel, Prof. Jayeshkumar Pitroda *Student of final year M.E. C E & M, B.V.M. Engineering college, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Assistant Professor& Research Scholar,Civil Engg Department, B.V.M. Engineering College, Vallabh Vidyanagar-Gujarat-India.*
[3] CHIRAG GARG & AAKASH JAIN Department of Civil Engineering, BITS-Pilani, Hyderabad Campus, Andhra Pradesh, India.
[4] Divakar. Y 1, Manjunath. S 2 and Dr. M.U. Aswath 3 PG Student, B.I.T, Bangalore, 2Assistant Professor, RVCE, Bangalore, 3Professor – B.I.T, Bangalore.
[5] Dina M. Sadek1, Mohamed M. El-Attar2 and Haitham A. Ali31Associate Professor, Building Materials Research and Quality Control Institute Housing and Building National Research Center, Cairo, Egypt

ISSN: 2277-9655
Impact Factor: 4.116
CODEN: IJESS7

dr.dina_sadek@yahoo.com 2Associate Professor, Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Egypt attar.2007@hotmail.com 3Master Student, Department of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Egypt.

[6] Gulden Cagin Ulubeylia,*, Turhan Bilira, Recep Artirb aDepartment of Civil Engineering, Bulent Ecevit University, Zonguldak, 67100, Turkey bDepartment of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Marmara University, Istanbul, 34722, Turkey.

[7] Engr. Muritala Ashola ADIGUN, B.Eng; M.Sc *Civil Engineering Department, Lagos State Polytechnic, Ikorodu, Lagos State, Nigeria.*.

[8] Rishi1, Dr. Vanita Aggarwal2 *(P.G Student: Civil Engineering Department, Maharishi.

[9] Sarbjeet Singha,*, AnshumanTiwarib, Ravindra Nagarc, VinayAgrawald* a Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India bB.Tech., Dept. of Civil Engineering, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India c Professor, Civil Engineering Dept., Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India d Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

[10] Shehdeh Ghannama, HusamNajmb,⋆, Rosa Vasconez c a Department of Civil Engineering, Zarqa University, Zarqa, Jordan b Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Rutgers University, NJ, USA c Department of Civil Engineering, California Polytechnic and State University, Pomona, CA, USA.

CITE AN ARTICLE

Limaye, Vaishali Sunil, Harshvardhan Rajendra Godbole, and Sanjay Vasudeo Lipare. "ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF CRUSHED GRANITE & MARBLE FOR PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF NATURAL SAND IN M 30 GRADE CONCRETE." ***INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY*** **6.7 (2017): 334-39. Web. 15 July 2017.**